

Full Length Research Paper

A study of the development of vegetation type map using visual image interpretation technique

Shankar Patel¹, Acharya Patnaik¹, Omkar Sanjeev Ashok² and Gulati Goyal Goswami²

¹Department of Crop Improvement, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Lingaya's University, Faridabad, Haryana, India.

²School of Natural Resource Management, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, Delhi, India.

Accepted 02 October 2014

Rajasthan, the largest state of India has a geographic area of 342,239 km²; shows great variation in climate and vegetation. In the present study, vegetation types and land use of Rajasthan were mapped using multi-season IRS P6 LISS III data. Visual image interpretation technique was adopted in mapping the heterogeneity of land cover classes at 1:50,000 scale. Georeferenced phytosociological information was also used to delineate different vegetation formations. Altogether 26 vegetation type classes were mapped in the study region. The vegetation cover occupies 16.78% of the geographical area of the State. Of the total vegetation cover, forest area is contributing 4.71% of the geographical area. The main forest types of Rajasthan includes Dry Deciduous forest, Thorn forest, Broad leaved hill forest, Dhauk forest, Teak mixed forest and Riverine forest. The vegetation type map prepared provides a key input for biodiversity understanding at landscape level.

Keywords: Remote sensing, Rajasthan, visual interpretation, vegetation types.

INTRODUCTION

The vegetation is one of the invaluable natural resources which changes spatio-temporally in its extent and distribution. Hence, reliable information on the extent and distribution of vegetation types is pre-requisite for natural resource management and planning. More recently, knowledge of the vegetation types is becoming increasingly critical to assess and monitor the potential vulnerability of natural ecosystems due to human induced global environment change. The difficulty of mapping spatial pattern in vegetation types largely depends on the level and scale of biodiversity under investigation. In this direction, the use of remote sensing and geographic information systems (GIS) for mapping vegetation systems has proved helpful and expanded considerably in recent years (Madden, 2004).

Remote sensing technologies offer various tools which can detect, map, and monitor the natural resources ranging from global to micro levels. Mapping scale and spatial resolution are the most important parameters to evaluate when selecting satellite remote sensing data. If vegetation mapping is from regional scale to continental

scale MODIS and AVHRR satellite image data with pixel sizes ranging from 250m to 1,000 m are found to be appropriate. Many global initiatives, such as MODIS Land cover estimation, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) assessments, Global Land Cover Facility (GLCF) give estimation of forest cover with coarse resolution data which may not fulfill the requirement of planners and ecologists (Hansen et al., 2000; Lotsch et al., 2003). But for mapping and identification of habitats with different mixed vegetation types, single species dominated systems, locale specific formations and degraded types, the spatial resolution of 20-30 m are more appropriate; and thus, important for landscape scale studies of vegetation mapping (Singh et al., 2002). Vegetation mapping utilizes both qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques in order to create a database containing the spatial location and attribute table information of the plants (Hasmadi et al., 2009).

Mapping of vegetation types with sole use of digital image classification techniques and single season satellite data is difficult in tropical forests. A common problem is that the varied land cover formations and illumination angle changes over small areas may result in spectral confusion. Also, the human disturbance and edaphic conditions induces seral vegetation stages and locale specific types which can create spectral confusion

*Corresponding Author Email: shankar_patel@gmail.com

(Helmer, 2000). As the vegetation types in tropical part of India represents diverse formations, on screen visual image interpretation approach was found to be suitable to delineate various vegetation types (Singh et al., 2005; Reddy et al., 2008; Reddy et al., 2009).

In the present study, IRS P6 LISS III data which is having spatial resolution 23.5 m was used to generate baseline information of vegetation types of Rajasthan, India. The objective of the present study was to develop detailed vegetation type map using visual image interpretation technique supplemented by information collected from phytosociological surveys.

Study Area

Rajasthan is the largest State in India, occupying an area of about 3,42,239 km² nearly about 11 percent of the total geographical area (TGA) of India, and lies between 23°30' and 30° 12' North latitude and 69° 30' and 78° 17' East longitude. Rajasthan is bordered by Pakistan in the west and northwest, the Indian States of Punjab, Uttar Pradesh and Haryana lie in the north and northeast, Madhya Pradesh in the southeast and Gujarat in the southwest. Administratively, Rajasthan is divided into 33 districts (Ajmer, Alwar, Banswara, Baran, Barmer, Bharatpur, Bhilwara, Bikaner, Bundi, Chittorgarh, Churu, Dausa, Dholpur, Dungarpur, Hanumangarh, Jaipur, Jaisalmer, Jalore, Jhalawar, Jhunjhunu, Jodhpur, Karauli, Kota, Nagaur, Pali, Pratapgarh, Rajsamand, Sawai Madhopur, Sikar, Sirohi, Sri Ganganagar, Tonk and Udaipur).

Rajasthan is a unique State which shows great variation from one area to another and is noticeable in respect of climate, altitude and vegetation. Rajasthan has three major physiographic regions, viz. the western desert (Thar Desert), the Aravalli hills and the south-eastern plateau. The most striking geographical feature is the Aravalli range - the oldest folded mountain range in the world, which intersects the State diagonally end to end north-east to south-west into three-fifth north-western desertic zone and two-fifth eastern semi-arid region. The elevation of Aravalli range gradually decreases in north-east direction, as it is 1,772 m at Mt. Abu (Gurushikar), 1100 m at Bijapur, 913 m at Harshanath and 792 m at Khetri; the elevation further decreases to 335 m at Delhi beyond the boundaries of the State in north-east direction. The total forest area of the State is 16,036 km², which occupies 4.69% of the total geographical area (FSI, 2009).

The population of the Rajasthan is 56.61 million (Census, 2001). The State experiences varied climatic conditions ranging from extreme aridity in the northwestern parts (Jaisalmer) to sub-humid conditions in the southern parts (Jhalawar, Banswara and Mount Abu). However, most of the State (94%) falls under arid and semi-arid conditions with low and erratic rainfall patterns.

Pre-monsoon season, the hottest period, extends from April to June with temperatures ranging from 32°C to 45°C. The winter season is from January to March and temperature may drop to 0°C in some cities of Rajasthan, such as Churu.

Materials and Methods

The entire State of Rajasthan has been covered in 36 scenes of IRS P6 LISS III data. The multi-season data was chosen for optimal discrimination of various vegetation types. Ortho-rectified Landsat ETM+ datasets were downloaded from GLCF website (<http://glcf.umiacs.umd.edu/>). All the scenes were acquired and geometrically corrected with reference to Landsat ETM+ satellite data. The planimetric accuracy standards of ≤ 1 pixel error were achieved for all the scenes. The False Colour Composite (FCC) mosaic of IRS- P6 LISS-III data for the entire state of Rajasthan is shown in Figure 1. Landsat ETM+ (2001) and IRS P6 AWiFS (2008) datasets were also used for reference. The ancillary data of Survey of India toposheets on 1:50,000 scale and spatial datasets of Elevation data (from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission), DCW (Digital Chart of World), village location and road network was used in the study.

Classification Scheme

The vegetation delineation depends on spatial, spectral and temporal resolution of the satellite sensor on one hand and the spatial extent and degree of homogeneity of the species assemblages on the other hand. The forest class is subdivided into mixed species forest types, gregarious species forest types, locale specific types, degraded types based on composition and location specific distribution controlled by physiographic, edaphic and disturbance conditions. The sub classification under these classes was made based on phenology, physiognomy and dominant compositional patterns following the principles of Indian forest type classification developed by Champion and Seth (1968). Grasslands are primarily classified based on climatic, edaphic and species associations. The classification scheme of Dabadghao and Shankarnarayan (1973) was utilised with certain modifications.

Visual interpretation of the vegetation types was carried out and different classes were interpreted using on screen digitization method. The interpreted product was further collaborated with ground truth information and phytosociological data. The interpreted vector layers of each district were mosaiced and the edge contrast and other inconsistencies were harmonized. Likewise, for all the 33 districts of Rajasthan, vegetation type maps were prepared on 1:50,000 scale. The vegetation type map of Rajasthan thus prepared is estimated to have an overall mapping accuracy of 89%.

Field study

Field surveys were undertaken to get acquainted with the general patterns of vegetation types of the study area. Major vegetation types and important localities of characteristic types were recorded. The variation and tonal patterns were observed on IRS P6 LISS III images. Stratified random sampling in the various vegetation types, further helps in classifying them up to community level. Phytosociological sample plot data of 1800 points were utilized in the study. To facilitate the on-screen visual interpretation, some site-specific vegetation types were identified and image chips were extracted from corresponding IRS P6 LISS-III scenes. All the unique image templates along with field information and photographs were made as geospatially referenced database for




Figure 1. IRS P6 LISS-III False Colour Composite image of Rajasthan

delineating similar such areas across the entire scene. The image templates were also evaluated across the scenes for the degree of conformity and to maintain uniformity across the scenes.

Results

In Rajasthan, natural vegetation cover occupies 16.78% of the total geographical area (Figure 2, table 1). Among the five forest systems mapped at level 1, mixed formations occupy 79.22% of forest area followed by gregarious formations of 12.98%, degraded formations (3.68%) and forest plantations (3.50%) (Figure 3). The vegetation type map clearly showed that 4.71% of the geographical area is under forest cover. The major forest types delineated in the State are Broad leaved hill, Dry deciduous, Dhauk forest, Thorn, Teak mixed, Riverine forest, tree/shrub savannah and forest plantation. Scrub

and grasslands occupy significant area and represented with 9.18% and 2.87% of geographical area respectively. Broad leaved hill forest occupies 80.25 km² and unique to Mount Abu Wildlife Sanctuary of Sirohi district. Of the deciduous systems, mixed dry deciduous forest is dominant and occupies 10,443.81 km² followed by *Anogeissus pendula* Edgew. (1354.13 km²) and teak (456.03 km²). Of the thorn vegetation system, mixed thorn forest represents 2252.16 km² followed by *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd. (212.19 km²) and *Prosopis cineraria* (L.) Druce (72.12 km²). Riverine forest is a locale specific vegetation type accounted for an area of 99.69 km². Being located in arid and semi-arid zone of India, Rajasthan represents low area (4768.84 km²) under water bodies (including wetlands) and which occupies 1.39% of total geographical area. Agriculture is predominant land use in Rajasthan estimated as 250123.15 km² (73.08%) of




Figure 2. Vegetation type and land use map of Rajasthan

geographical area.

Discussion

The important vegetation types of Rajasthan were discussed below:

Broad leaved hill forests: Broad leaved hill forests (Central Indian subtropical hill forests (8A/C3) as per

Champion and Seth, 1968) of Rajasthan shows a mixture of evergreen and deciduous tree species. It is dominated by *Mangifera indica* L., *Anogeissus sericea* Brandis., *Anogeissus laifolia* (Roxb. ex DC.) Well. ex Guill. and Perr., *Lannea coromandelica* (Houtt) Merr. and *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels. Total area covered by this forest is 80.25 km² (0.02% of total geographical area). There is a more of dry vegetation, which in its present form resembles dense dry deciduous forest though representing evergreen elements. The steep slopes mark

Table 1. Areal extent of Vegetation types and Land Use of Rajasthan (area in km²)

Sl.no.	Class	Area (km ²)	Area (%)
A Forest			
I Mixed formations			
1	Broad leaved hill forest	80.25	0.02
2	Dry Deciduous forest	10448.62	3.05
3	Thorn forest	2252.16	0.66
	Sub total	12781.04	3.73
II Gregarious formations			
4	Dhauk forest (<i>Anogeissus pendula</i> Edgew.)	1354.13	0.40
5	Teak Mixed forest	456.03	0.13
6	<i>Acacia senegal</i> (L.) Willd	212.19	0.06
7	<i>Prosopis cineraria</i> (L.) Druce.	72.12	0.02
	Sub total	2094.48	0.61
III Locale Specific formations			
8	Riverine forest	99.69	0.03
	Sub total	99.69	0.03
IV Degraded formations/Woodland			
9	Tree Savannah	468.44	0.14
10	Shrub Savannah	125.07	0.04
	Sub total	593.52	0.17
V Forest Plantation			
11	Mixed Plantation	564.89	0.17
	Sub total	564.89	0.17
B/VI Scrub/Shrubland			
12	Thorn Scrub	4788.36	1.40
13	Dry Deciduous Scrub	12440.38	3.63
14	<i>Euphorbia</i> Scrub	130.68	0.04
15	<i>Prosopis juliflora</i> (Swartz.) DC.Scrub	92.44	0.03
16	Desert Dune Scrub	13958.24	4.08
17	<i>Lantana</i> Scrub	8.26	0.002
18	<i>Calotropis</i> Scrub	4.77	0.001
19	Ravine scrub	48.47	0.01
	Sub total	31471.61	9.20
C/VII Grassland			
20	Saline Grassland	25.36	0.01
21	Swampy Grassland	2.80	0.001
22	<i>Lasiurus-Panicum</i> Grassland	3339.45	0.98
23	<i>Cenchrus-Dactyloctenium</i> Grassland	5430.01	1.59
24	<i>Aristida-Oropetium</i> Grassland	80.15	0.02

Table 1 Cont.

25	<i>Sehima-Apluda</i> Grassland	667.28	0.19
26	<i>Aristida-Dichanthium</i> Grassland	283.59	0.08
Sub total		9828.64	2.87
D/VIII	Orchards	321.11	0.09
E/IX	Agriculture	250123.15	73.08
F/X	Water bodies	4685.00	1.37
G/XI	Wetlands	83.84	0.02
H/XII	Long Fallow/Barren land	26439.93	7.73
I/XIII	Settlement	3152.17	0.92
Grand total		342239	100




Figure 3. Pie chart showing distribution of level 1 Forest categories

this terrain evident from other surroundings. Image shows these areas as bright tone with rough texture in both seasons.

Dry Deciduous forest: It is equivalent to northern dry mixed deciduous forests (5B/C2). This forest type shows prominence of *Anogeissus pendula* Edgew. (Popularly known as Dhauk), *Butea monosperma* (Lam.) Taub, *Lannea coromandelica* (Houtt) Merr, *Boswellia serrata* Roxb ex Coleb, *Anogeissus lalifolia* (Roxb. ex DC.) Well. ex Guill. and Perr. and *Diospyros melanoxylon* Roxb. Dry Deciduous forests (Tropical Dry Deciduous forests according to Champion and Seth, 1968) occupy major proportion under natural vegetation cover and predominantly found in Udaipur, Rajsamand, Ajmer, Kota, Baran, Chittorgarh districts. It occurs at an altitude of around 200-600 mts. It is spreading over an area of

10,448.62 km² (3.05% of TGA). In green season satellite image dry deciduous forest looks dark red in tone with rough texture, but in dry season the areas take up greenish shade with no evidence of foliage. Background reflectance of dry/often burnt undergrowth marks this system. Contiguity of these forests over large areas is common and can be generally found interspersed with contrasting fringing forests in dry season.

Dhauk forest (*Anogeissus pendula* Edgew.): It is edaphic climax forest (6/E1) as per Champion and Seth' classification (1968). This type is largely determined by the *Anogeissus pendula* which forms nearly pure crops, often well stocked, about 6 m. height. These forests are predominantly found in northern Aravallis, central Aravallis and east of Aravallis. Profuse growth of *Anogeissus pendula* also found in Kumbalgarh wildlife

sanctuary in southern Aravallis. It is leafless from February - June. The associated species are those of dry deciduous forests. The rainfall ranges between 500-900 mm. Area covered by this type is 1354.13 km². When browsing pressure is heavy the *Anogeissus pendula* is reduced to spreading and creeping forms.

Teak mixed forest: It is known as dry teak forest (5A/C1b) according to Champion and Seth's classification. Teak Mixed Dry Deciduous Forests are found in Southern region of Rajasthan Dungarpur and Banswara districts. Area covered by this type is 456.03 km² (0.13% of TGA) The species composition in this type includes *Tectona grandis* L., *Anogeissus lalifolia* (Roxb. ex DC.) Well. ex Guill. and Perr., *Butea monosperma* (Lam.) Taub., *Diospyros melanoxylon* Roxb., *Lannea coromandelica* (Houtt) Merr and *Boswellia serrata* Roxb ex Coleb. On satellite image it gives appearance of dark brown tone with rough texture in December image but it gets mixed up with dry deciduous forest in dry season. One of the most characteristic features regarding association is the contiguous tracts prevailing in foot hills and on low altitude hills of the plateau. Relatively intense ground truthing is essential for confirmation, in spite of its characteristic smoky looking dry deciduous tone at places.

Thorn forest: It is equivalent to northern tropical thorn forests (6B). Thorn forest are mostly found in Thar Desert and central Aravallis of Rajasthan. Common species in this type are *Prosopis cineraria* (L.) Druce., *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd., *Acacia nilotica* (L.) Willd. ex Del., *Acacia leucophloea* (Roxb.) Willd., *Acacia tortilis* (Forssk.) Hyene. and *Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam. etc. *Capparis decidua* (Forssk.) Edgew. is indicator species of thorn forests in western Rajasthan. There is a less admixture of dry deciduous forest species as compared to its counter part of southern tropical thorn forests of Peninsular India (Champion and Seth, 1968). On image it gives a rough texture with light to dark tone in both dry and wet season image.

Acacia senegal (L.) Willd forest: It is the edaphic type of thorn forest (6E2) with *Acacia senegal* and few associated species like *Acacia leucophloea* (Roxb.) Willd. and *Zizyphus nummularia* (Burm.f.) Wight. and Arn. It is distributed in desert region and Aravallis.

Prosopis cineraria (L.) Druce forest: It is a desert thorn forest (6B/C1) with extremely open crops of scattered trees. The delineation of *Prosopis cineraria* forest is subjective and based on the field knowledge and technical skills due to scattered trees here and there.

Riverine (riparian) forest: These are referred as dry tropical riverain forests (5/1S1) in Champion and Seth's classification. Riverine forests are interspersed in

deciduous systems, wherever streams and rivers flow as surface channel over longer periods than surroundings. These areas are narrow strips along the hilly intersections of the large streams. The species constituting such forests include *Syzygium heyneanum* (Duthi.) Wall. ex Gamble, *Terminalia arjuna* (DC.) Wight and Arn., *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels., *Holoptelea integrifolia* (Roxb.) Planchon., *Ficus racemosa* L. Almost near perfect contrasts are apparent during dry seasons on image. Image shows these areas as bright tone in both seasons.

Woodland: It was referred as dry savannah forest (5/DS2) in Champion and Seth's classification. Depending on the mode of degradation, fire/grazing/edaphic conditions, the forest shows deterioration and becomes woodland. Based on the severity of disturbance, woodland of two types were common in Aravallis of Rajasthan and they are referred as Tree savannah and Shrub savannah. Tree savanna differs from shrub savanna only in tree height and spacing. Tree Savannah appears dark maroon with pinkish tinge tone and have medium to coarse texture. Shrub Savannah presents light maroon with cyan tinge tone and possess medium to coarse texture.

Scrub: Scrub is a vegetation cover predominantly occupied by shrubs or poor tree growth chiefly of small or stunted trees with crown density less than 10%. It is the first most dominant vegetation class covering an area of 31,471.61 km². Desert dune scrub occupies 13,958.24 km² is unique to sandy parts of desert on partially stabilised dunes and on shifting dunes. It is the dominant scrub type of Rajasthan. Thorn scrub is generally seen in the fringes of thorn forests, hill tops and nearby settlements. Dry Deciduous scrub has been subjected to more degradation and frequently found in and around dry deciduous forests. *Calotropis* scrub is specifically found in long fallow areas and bordering crop fields in desert region. *Euphorbia* scrub is unique to rocky and badly eroded areas of both desert and Aravallis. It is a very open formation in which *Euphorbia caducifolia* Haines. predominates. *Prosopis juliflora* (Swartz.) DC. and *Lantana camara* L. are worst invasive alien species and threat to native biodiversity (Reddy, 2008). *Prosopis juliflora* and *Lantana camara* being aggressive colonizers, form dense thickets referred as *Prosopis* scrub and *Lantana* scrub, respectively. *Prosopis juliflora* often grows along road sides, canals and degraded thorn forest lands and rapidly spreading in desert and Aravallis. *Lantana camara* prefers openings and fringes of dry deciduous forests and subhumid tracts of broad leaved hill forests.

Grasslands: Grasslands of Rajasthan have been grouped into seven types. All these grasslands are mapped based on habitat information, physical location and unique associations. Smooth texture less expanse

restricted to arid and semi-arid plains and hill slopes mark the land cover. Occasionally tree/shrub savannah is associated in the fringes of grasslands. It may mix with barren areas if single season data is used, though for an experienced interpreter the association induced distinction is hard to ignore.

Lasiurus scindicus-*Panicum turgidum* grasslands are climax vegetation systems adapted to very low rainfall (<250mm) areas of Thar desert. *Cenchrus-Dactyloctenium* grasslands are very common throughout the central and eastern part of Rajasthan desert. This grassland receives annual rainfall of 300mm to 500mm and situated in elevation range of 150m-400m. *Cenchrus-Dactyloctenium* grassland shows dominance of both grass (*Cenchrus biflorus* Roxb., *Dactyloctenium scindicum* Boiss., *Aristida adscensionis* L.) and non grass species (*Farsetia hamiltonii* Royle., *Crotalaria burhia* Buch.-Ham. ex Benth., *Tribulus terrestris* L., *Tephrosia purpurea* (L.) Pers., *Aerva persica* (Burm. f.) Merr., *Indigofera cordifolia* Heyne ex Roth). It was distributed throughout central and eastern part of Rajasthan desert. *Aristida-Oropetium* grasslands are edaphically adapted to rocky gravelly plains of Jodhpur, Ajmer and Sikar districts. It is very low productive grassland in terms of biomass and species diversity. *Sehima-Apluda* grassland system is unique to Aravallis. *Sehima nervosum* is abundant in southern Aravallis at an elevation range of 500m-800m. It is inconspicuous in central Aravallis. *Aristida-Dichanthium* grasslands are prevalent in northern Aravallis and eastern part of Aravallis. *Heteropogon contortus* (L.) P.Beauv., *Aristida* spp (*Aristida hystrix* L., *Aristida adscensionis* L. and *Aristida funiculata* Trin. and Rupr.) and *Dichanthium pertusum* (L.) Clayton. shows widespread distribution throughout Aravallis. *Chrysopogon fulvus* (Spreng.) Chiov. is the common grass species in east of Aravallis and locally abundant in Ranthambore tiger reserve. Saline grasslands are locale specific vegetation systems occurring only in saline tracts of Sambhar lake, Didwana lake and Pachpadra. Swampy Grasslands (*Vetiveria-Saccharum*) are found among the marshes and fringes of wetlands in Bharatpur bird sanctuary.

Other Non Vegetation Classes: Orchards includes the fruit plants cultivated along with the agricultural field. Orchards were mostly concentrated in eastern districts of Rajasthan. Current crop lands and current fallow lands were mapped under single class –Agriculture. Most of the land use area in Rajasthan is under agriculture (73.08% of TGA), as this is the main occupation of people. There are about 66 crops were grown in the State (<http://www.rajasthanonline.in>). Wheat, Barley, Jowar, Pulses, Mustard, Maize, Bajra are the principal crops. Operational estimation systems are in place elsewhere to derive single or multiple cropping areas from satellite remote sensing. Along with this other classes mapped were sand, water, settlement, barren

land and wetland, which have relatively far better distinction and ease of interpretation as far as the accuracy for the current scheme was considered. Visual interpretation procedure help to tide over conspicuous digital processing glitch like confusion between cases of scrub, barren lands, settlements, water and wetlands and alike.

Conclusions

The study demonstrated that remote sensing is a state of the art technological tool to map and distinguish the complex vegetation formations in India. The geospatial data generated on vegetation type maps are prime input for landscape ecological analysis. Spatial extent and distribution of vegetation types can be linked to the human induced changes and biodiversity characterisation.

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to Dr. V. Jayaraman, Director, NRSC, Dr. P.S. Roy, Associate Director, NRSC and Dr. M.S.R. Murthy, Head, Forestry and Ecology Division, NRSC, Hyderabad for suggestions and encouragement. The present work is carried out as part of project on “Biodiversity characterization at landscape level using remote sensing and GIS” with support of the Department of Space and Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India.

References

- Census (2001). www.censusindia.net/ accessed on 29th October 2009.
- Champion HG, Seth SK (1968). A Revised Survey of Forest Types of India. Govt. of India Press, Delhi: 1-404
- Abadghao PM, Shankarnarayan KA (1973). The Grass cover of India. ICAR, New Delhi: 1-117
- FSI (2009). India State of Forest Report 2009 (Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India), Forest Survey of India, Dehradun. <http://www.rajasthanonline.in/Profile/Agriculture/> accessed on 21st January 2009.
- Hansen MC, Defries RS, Townshend JRG, Sohlberg R (2000). Global land cover classification at 1 km spatial resolution using a classification tree approach. *Int. J. Rem. Sens.* 21:1331-1364.
- Hasmadi M, Zaki MH, Adnan AMI, Pakhriazad HZ, Fadlli AYM (2010). Determining and Mapping of Vegetation using GIS and Phytosociological Approach in Mount Tahan, Malaysia. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2(2): 80-89.
- Helmer EH (2000). The landscape ecology of secondary forest in montane Costa Rica. *Ecosystems* 3: 98-114. <http://glcf.umd.edu/> accessed on 1st February 2008.
- Lotsch A, Tian Y, Friedl MA, Myneni RB (2003). Land cover mapping in support of LAI and FPAR retrievals from EOS-MODIS and MISR: classification methods and sensitivities to errors. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 24: 1997-2016.
- Madden M (2004). Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System Operations for Vegetation Mapping of Invasive Exotics. *Weed Technol.* 18: 1457-1463.

- Reddy CS (2008). Catalogue of Invasive Alien Flora of India. *Life Sci. J* 5: 84-89.
- Reddy CS, Pujar GS, Sudhakar S, Shilpa B, Sudha K, Gharai B, Murthy MSR (2008). Mapping the Vegetation Types of Andhra Pradesh, India using Remote Sensing. *Proc. A.P. Acad. Sci.* 12: 14-23.
- Reddy CS, Pattanaik C, Roy A, Murthy MSR (2009). Mapping the vegetation types of Orissa, India. *Plant Physiol. Agric. Fores.* pp.193-200. eds. P.C. Trivedi. Aavishkar Publishers, Jaipur, India.
- Singh TP, Singh S, Roy PS, Rao BSP (2002). Vegetation mapping and characterization in West Siang District of Arunachal Pradesh, India – a satellite remote sensing-based approach. *Curr. Sci.* 83(10): 1221-1230.
- Singh S, Singh TP, Srivastava G (2005). Vegetation cover type mapping in Mouling National Park in Arunachal Pradesh, Eastern Himalayas- An integrated geospatial approach. *Photonirvachak* 33(4): 547-563.