

*Short Communication*

# Integrative medicine: Balancing tradition and innovation for health and well-being

Yukio Margret\*

Department of Integrative Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.

Received: 16-Feb-2024, Manuscript No. IJMSA-24-136629; Editor assigned: 19-Feb-2023, Pre QC No. IJMSA-24-136629 (PQ); Reviewed: 05-Mar-2024, QC No. IJMSA-24-136629; Revised: 12-Mar-2024, Manuscript No. IJMSA-24-136629 (R); Published: 19-Mar-2024

**DESCRIPTION**

The study of societal influences on health, illness, and healthcare systems is included in medical sociology. It studies the complex relationships that exist between cultural norms, societal structures, and personal health outcomes. But just like in any other sector, unethical behavior can occur here as well, which presents serious risks to the integrity of the study as well as the safety of those who work in it. These unethical behaviors take many different forms, such as biased representation, exploitation of vulnerable populations, and misconduct in research. One prevalent unethical practice in medical sociology involves research misconduct (Arrebola et al., 2020). This encompasses a range of behaviors such as fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism. Fabrication entails inventing data or results, while falsification involves data manipulation to achieve desired results. Plagiarism involves the unauthorized use of another person's ideas or work without proper attribution (Berardis et al., 2017). These practices undermine the credibility of research findings, eroding trust in the scientific community and impeding progress in understanding health and healthcare dynamics.

Another ethical concern within medical sociology revolves around biased representation in research. This occurs when researchers fail to accurately represent the diversity of populations under study, leading to skewed conclusions and inadequate solutions to health disparities (Govender et al., 2022). Biased representation can manifest in various forms, including selective sampling, exclusion of certain demographics, or misinterpretation of findings. Such practices not only perpetuate existing inequalities but also hinder efforts to develop inclusive and equitable healthcare policies and interventions (Horenstein et al., 2020). Exploitation of vulnerable populations is another pressing ethical issue in medical sociology. Vulnerable groups, such as low-income communities, ethnic minorities, and individuals with disabilities, are often targeted for research due to their perceived availability or susceptibility. However, exploiting these populations for research purposes without adequate protection and benefit constitutes a serious violation of ethics (Manjunatha et al., 2022). It disregards their autonomy, dignity, and well-being, perpetuating power imbalances and increasing systemic injustices within healthcare systems.

Furthermore, conflicts of interest pose significant ethical challenges in medical sociology. Researchers may have financial, professional, or personal interests that could unduly influence their work, leading to biased interpretations or selective reporting of findings. Conflicts of interest can arise from various sources, including industry partnerships, funding arrangements, or personal affiliations (Mehta et al., 2022). Failure to disclose these conflicts undermines the transparency and integrity of research, compromising the credibility of findings and potentially distorting policy decisions and clinical practices (Meier et al., 2016). When publishing their findings to the public, researchers have to deal with tricky issues related to informed permission, confidentiality, and privacy. Failure to uphold these principles can lead to breaches of trust, harm to research participants, or misrepresentation of findings in media or policy discussions (Rubio et al., 2017). Additionally, the commercialization of research findings, such as through sensationalized headlines or marketing campaigns, can distort public understanding and exacerbate health-related anxieties or misconceptions.

Researchers must receive comprehensive training in research ethics and undergo regular review processes to ensure compliance with ethical standards. Institutions and funding agencies play a important role in establishing and enforcing ethical guidelines, promoting transparency, and fostering a culture of integrity within the research community (Rutledge et al., 2001). Additionally, collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and community stakeholders can facilitate ethical research practices and promote the equitable translation of research findings into policy and practice. Unethical practices in medical sociology raise significant challenges to the integrity and impact of research within the field (Sung et al., 2018). From research misconduct and biased representation to exploitation of vulnerable populations and conflicts of interest, these practices undermine the credibility of findings, perpetuate inequalities, and erode trust in healthcare systems.

**REFERENCES**

1. Arrebola M, Petrova D, Garrido D, Ramírez-Hernández JA, Catena A, Garcia-Retamero R (2020). Psychosocial markers of pre-hospital decision delay and

\*Corresponding author. Yukio Margret, Email: margreyukio888@gmail.com

- psychological distress in acute coronary syndrome patients. *Br J Health Psychol.* 25(2):305-323.
2. Berardis D, Fornaro M, Orsolini L, Valchera A, Carano A, Vellante F, Perna G, et al (2017). Alexithymia and suicide risk in psychiatric disorders: A mini-review. *Front Psychiatry.* 8:148.
  3. Govender I, Nashed KK, Rangiah S, Okeke S, Maphasha OM (2022). Palpitations: Evaluation and management by primary care practitioners. *S Afr Fam Pract.* 64(1):e1-e8.
  4. Horenstein A, Heimberg RG (2020). Anxiety disorders and healthcare utilization: A systematic review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 81:101894.
  5. Manjunatha N, Ram D (2022). Panic disorder in general medical practice-A narrative review. *J Family Med Prim Care.* 11(3):861-869.
  6. Mehta PK, Quesada O, Al-Badri A, Fleg JL, Volgman AS, Pepine CJ, Merz CN, et al (2022). Ischemia and no obstructive coronary arteries in patients with stable ischemic heart disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 348:1-8.
  7. Meier SM, Mattheisen M, Mors O, Mortensen PB, Laursen TM, Penninx BW (2016). Increased mortality among people with anxiety disorders: Total population study. *Br J Psychiatry.* 209(3):216-221.
  8. Rubio JM, Correll CU (2017). Duration and relevance of untreated psychiatric disorders, 2: Nonpsychotic psychiatric disorders and substance use disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 26;78(4):464-465.
  9. Rutledge T, Reis SE, Olson M, Owens J, Kelsey SF, Pepine CJ, Reichek N, et al (2001). History of anxiety disorders is associated with a decreased likelihood of angiographic coronary artery disease in women with chest pain: The WISE study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 37(3):780-785.
  10. Sung SC, Ma J, Earnest A, Rush AJ, Lim LE, Ong ME (2018). Screening for panic-related anxiety in emergency department patients with cardiopulmonary complaints: A comparison of two self-report instruments. *Psychiatry Res.* 263:7-14.